

# MINUTES

## Joint Meeting of the Scotts Valley City Council and Redevelopment Agency Board of Directors

**Date: January 16, 2008**

**POSTING:**

The agenda was posted on 1-11-08  
at City Hall, the SV Library, and the  
SV Senior Center by the City Clerk.

---

---

**CALL TO ORDER**                      6:00 p.m.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE and MOMENT OF SILENCE**

**ROLL CALL**

Present:

Mayor Johnson  
Vice Mayor Barrett  
Council Member Aguilar  
Council Member Bustichi  
Council Member Reed

City Manager Comstock  
City Attorney Powell  
Deputy City Mgr/Admin Svs Dir Ando  
Police Chief Weiss  
Public Wks Director Anderson  
Interim Community Development Dir Westman  
Senior Planner Fodge  
Contract Planner Weaver  
Administrative Secretary Adams

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**    CM Reed reported that the City Schools met and reviewed the DARE program. We have the juvenile officer back now and he is anxious to begin the program. They reviewed the opportunities available to the School District when it comes to water conservation, noting new incentives from the Water District. They discussed results of the survey regarding the upcoming bond measure.

CM Aguilar reported that AMBAG met and the key discussion was on the regional housing needs allocation that the State has assigned to the cities. Originally, the number was for 25,315 homes. We now have a new arena from the years 2007 to 2014 and a reduced requirement to 15,130 homes.

CM Bustichi reported that the Transit Board met and will soon start to see labor negotiations for bus drivers. He has been nominated for Vice Chair of the Commission.

CM Bustichi reported that the Skypark Subcommittee met with Stanbury group to review the process of fulfilling the predevelopment agreement. They are moving methodically in a positive way.

Mayor Johnson reported that the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission met and dealt with tax measures that would be on a future ballot. The Transportation Commission came up with a formula that would be presented to the committee. The Commission decided to accept recommendations of the committee, but no decision as to putting it on the ballot was made.

Mayor Johnson reported that the Joint Powers Authority for the library system met and voted to go to the ballot to establish a permanent tax for 1/4 cent for all libraries. This will come up in June.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Paul Bach, resident of Scotts Valley representing the Responsible Local Development Political Action Committee, approached the podium to discuss the ESA contract, item B on the Consent Agenda.

Mayor Johnson noted that because the ESA contract was an item on tonight's agenda, it should be removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion under the Regular Agenda. CA Powell clarified that the Public Comment time was for comment on items *not* on the agenda. Mr. Bach noted that the wording on the agenda face stated "... which are not part of the **Regular Agenda**" and the wording should be changed to include the entire agenda.

Maggie Ivy, Santa Cruz County Conference and Visitors Council, gave a progress report. She will be bringing the 2008 travelers guide for Santa Cruz County to City Hall in the near future and gave each Council member one. She noted that there was an insert of a special program sponsored by PG&E describing tips on how to be a good traveler when visiting Santa Cruz County.

Kristina Glen, Communications Director of the Santa Cruz County Conference and Visitors Council, reviewed the contents of the Visitors Guide.

CM Reed stated that when he relocated from the East coast he stayed at the Scotts Valley Hilton. One of the Visitors Guides was in the hotel, but Scotts Valley was not included at that time. He believed it was great that Scotts Valley is now included.

CM Aguilar announced that the Scotts Valley Senior Center is sponsoring a fund raiser, silent auction/pancake breakfast on January 26<sup>th</sup>, 2008, at the Senior Center.

CM Bustichi announced that the Scotts Valley Host Lyons Annual Cioppino Feed will be March 8, 2008, at Harvey West Park at the CPDES Hall.

CM Bustichi recounted an event that recently happened. Paracruz, run by Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, was called by an elderly woman to be picked up from Oak Tree Villa and taken to her doctor appointment. Unknown to her, her doctor had moved. The Paracruz driver stated that he could not deviate from the scheduled delivery location and he told her to get out at the scheduled location. The woman said no. She called the Scotts Valley Police, who came and picked her up and delivered her to the new

doctor location. Police told her to call when she was finished, they then picked her up and took her back home. CM Bustichi thanked the Police Department and noted that the Metro District would be working on fixing this type of situation.

## **ALTERATIONS TO CONSENT AGENDA**

Mr. Paul Back requested that item B, ESA Contract, be moved to the Regular Agenda for discussion.

***M/S: Barrett/Bustichi  
To approve the Consent Agenda as amended, moving item B to the Regular Agenda for discussion.  
Carried 5/0***

### **Consent Agenda:**

- A. Approve check register – 12-17-07, 1-2-08
- C. Approve claim denial of Elise R. LaSalle in the amount of \$250.00

## **ALTERATIONS TO REGULAR AGENDA**

Mr. Paul Back requested that item B be moved to the Regular Agenda for discussion.

***M/S: Aguilar/Barrett  
To approve the Regular Agenda as amended, moving item B to the Regular Agenda for discussion.  
Carried 5/0***

## **REGULAR AGENDA**

- B. **Approve contract with ESA Community Development to prepare a supplemental Environmental Impact Report for retail development (Target Store) on La Madrona Drive // APN 021-141-05**

ICDD Westman presented the staff report, explaining that the City has a policy on the adoption of an EIR Consultants List. She reviewed the process the City goes through for each application requiring environmental work. The applicant can select three consultants from the City's EIR Consultants List. The City then sends a Request for Proposal (RFP) for the environmental work from the three selected. In this case, the applicant selected two firms. One of the firms decided not to submit a proposal, ESA did submit a proposal. The City currently does have funds on deposit from the applicant to enter into contract to do this environmental work.

CM Aguilar asked staff for clarification. If an individual has the legal right to proceed with this process, Council cannot stop it at this time? CA Powell stated that this was correct, any property owner has the opportunity submit an application for entitlements. This is merely the hiring of a consultant to prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR), which is only a "piece" of the application to allow the City Council and public to review the impacts of a proposal.

CM Bustichi asked if sending an RFP out to three consultants and ending up with only one submittal happens often. ICDD Westman stated that it is not unusual to not receive a proposal back. CM Bustichi asked if the City's policy to have a list of EIR Consultants is a common practice in other jurisdictions. ICDD Westman, yes this is a very common policy. Having the City Council approve a Consultant's List provides an opportunity to pre-screen consultants prior to giving an approved list to an applicant. CM Bustichi asked how long this policy has been in place in the City. ICDD Westman stated that policy has been in place in Scotts Valley since the 1980's (approximately).

Paul Bach approached the podium and summarized the comments submitted to the Council this evening (attached to minutes). He believed there was a conflict of interest with the applicant selecting the EIR consultant. There is a close business relationship between Target and ESA. He asked the Council to vote no on this sole-source procurement and requested that any firm on the City's list have the opportunity to bid on the EIR work if they wish to do so.

Frank Kertai, president of Heritage Parks Association (Monte Fiore), summarized an email sent to the City (attached to the minutes) noting the parcel was zoned Service Commercial and that was an incorrect zoning for a shopping center. He stated his strong opposition to the approval of a contract with ESA.

CM Aguilar asked for clarification on C-S zoning allowed uses. ICDD Westman explained that this is a use that would be allowed in the CS zoning district. The code talks about commercial establishments that are destination oriented, not pedestrian type.

CA Powell stated that staff is recommending that City Council approve the ESA contract before them this evening. This is a standard contract that the City uses for consulting services for environmental review. ICDD Westman added that this is a proposal to prepare the environmental work only. Specifics and work that will ultimately be included in the SEIR have not even been firmly put in place. The consultant is responding to the RFP sent out that generally gives them an idea of what will be involved with the preparation of the document. The time line noted in the proposal is preliminary. The proposed time line may not work for this project - at this point, the Council is being asked to approve the contract for ESA to work for the City.

ICDD Westman gave history of the EIR Consultant List and how the City came to adopt the policy of using a consultant list. All of the ESA's references were checked and had a positive response from other jurisdictions where they had worked. The City is the one in charge of this contract. The quality of the work they produce will be approved by the City before it will go forward. Numerous agencies will be reviewing the document prepared by the consultant. There are many opportunities to comment as it goes through the State Clearinghouse process. It's not just the City looking at it - we have the benefit of all other agencies review and comment.

ICDD Westman explained that once we enter into a contract with an EIR consultant, we send out a notice to all responsible agencies that we're getting ready to prepare the document. We give the project description and the reason for the document preparation. They have an opportunity to say for example, "We are very concerned about the intersection of Hwy 17 / Mt. Hermon". The Native Plant Society may have concern with certain plants preservation and the biotic review should address this concern. We will schedule a scoping meeting for a time when staff and consultants and members of the public or agencies can come and say they are concerned with issues not addressed in a prior EIR, or new issues that they believe need to be included in the SEIR. There are a number of opportunities for people to speak to the document.

CM Reed asked for clarification; the process is not something Scotts Valley developed on their own - other jurisdictions have the same policy, correct? ICDD Westman stated yes. CM Reed clarified that the EIR consultant is working for the City, not the applicant. ICDD Westment stated yes. CM Reed suggested that at some point in the future, ESA may want to give the community some information on their firm.

Carl Heisler, ESA Manager of Community Development group, reviewed the firm's qualifications and prior work in different communities. He stated that in no way are they in the pocket of the developer or landowner of a proposal. He reviewed the CEQA process for environmental review of a project.

CM Bustichi asked Mr. Heisler if he knew how many Target stores were in California. A member of the audience stated there were 130. Mr. Heisler stated he did not know how many, but would bet in any work done for a Target proposal, they were never paid directly by Target. A City or County issues the RFP and selects, hires and pays the consultant.

***M/S: Reed/Aguilar***

***To authorize the City Manager to sign the contract with ESA Community Development for the preparation of a SEIR for a retail development on La Madrona Drive.***

***Carried 5/0***

**1. Appointments to interjurisdictional, standing local, and project specific committees**

Mayor Johnson made the following changes to appointments to interjurisdictional, standing local, and project specific committees:

- Library Financing Authority/  
Joint Powers Boards                      From *Johnson* To *Reed*
- Water Subcommittee                      From *Barrett/Aguilar* To *Barrett/Reed*
- Gateway South Commercial              From *Johnson/Barrett*  
To *Reed/BarrettJohnson*  
(corrected 6/18/08)

**M/S: Aguilar/Barrett**

**To approve the interjurisdictional appointment changes as presented.  
Carried 5/0**

**2. First reading and introduction of Ordinance No. 176.2 amending Chapter 5.10 to Title 5 of the Scotts Valley Municipal Code regulating massage establishments, regarding renewal of massage permits**

Chief Weiss presented the written staff report and responded to questions from Council.

CM Aguilar thanked the Chief and Police Department for looking into this ordinance in such detail.

**M/S: Aguilar/Barrett**

**To introduce Ordinance No. 176.2 amending Chapter 5.10 to Title 5 of the Scotts Valley Municipal Code regulating massage establishments, regarding renewal of massage permits, and waive the reading thereof.  
Carried 5/0**

Mayor Johnson asked CA Powell if she had reviewed the possibility of refunding funds. CA Powell stated she had, but could not make the determination that it was of public benefit, so the answer was no.

**3. Consideration of rebate for water efficient fixtures**

DCM/ASD Ando presented the written staff report and responded to questions from Council.

CM Aguilar asked if other cities do this type of working together with water districts for rebates. DCM/ASD Ando stated no. CM Aguilar noted that if less water is being used, she would want to include the people on septic for consideration of rebate because they're taking less water from aquifer. DCM/ASD Ando noted that this was true, but the connection is to draw from the Wastewater Funds for the rebate money.

CM Reed clarified that this would not be General Fund money, Wastewater Funds only? DCM/ASD Ando stated yes. CM Reed asked if there was a positive balance in this Wastewater Fund and asked if it is continually growing. DCM/ASD Ando, stated yes, and this dollar amount proposed for rebate could be handled by the Wastewater Fund.

CM Barrett stated he believed it was a great idea to cooperate with the Water District. He had a problem with the rebate amount matching the Water District's. Anybody can pick up 1.6 dual flush toilet for \$275. Both the City and Water District donating matching funds may be in excess. He would be in favor of a 50% rebate, as opposed to what is proposed.

CM Bustichi stated he believed the rebate was a great idea. He did not have a problem with seeing somebody get a free toilet if that's what it takes to reduce water consumption - that's a good goal. There are labor costs that need to be considered.

CM Aguilar stated that she wanted to make sure the City doesn't pay for the labor costs. She is mainly focusing on the replacement of the toilet or the fixture. She did not want to give a rebate for anything more than the appliance or the fixture. She wants to integrate those who do replace an appliance/fixture that are not connected to sewer (with septic tanks). She wants to find out if that is a possibility, while still using Wastewater Funds.

DCM/ASD Ando noted that the rebate program from the Water District only pays for the appliance/fixture and the labor cost is specifically excluded. CM Aguilar noted that she wants the City to be the secondary. The Water District should give the first rebate and the City should give the remaining balance.

CM Bustichi asked if the City could credit the sewer bill like the Water District credits a water bill. Mayor Johnson noted that sewer billing is now done by the County on the tax bill and that may be too complicated. CA Powell stated that the City paying a person directly would be easier.

CA Powell noted that refunds for septic tank users would have to come out of General Fund monies and not Wastewater Funds. Then a question would be if it only applied to City residents.

CM Reed suggested using a small portion of the estimated \$10,000 Wastewater Funds to get the word out to the people via flyers or advertisements of some type. CM Bustichi agreed that the public needs to somehow get this information.

ICDD Westman stated that the Water District will be putting their information out on their bills. We could ask that they include the information about the City's rebate on their bills as well.

CM Aguilar asked how far back the Water District was giving these rebates. ICDD Westman stated their Credit Application said 30 days from the purchase date shown on the receipt of the new appliance.

***M/S: Aguilar / Bustichi***

***To approve the proposed credit/rebate for water efficient fixtures, not to exceed the cost for the appliance/fixture itself (no labor costs), and the City to act as secondary to the Water District rebate program.***

***Carried 5/0***

**PUBLIC HEARINGS**

- 4. Continue to regular City Council meeting of 2/20/08: Proposed amendment to the Glenwood Specific Plan: Deerfield Drive and Mountain Valley Drive; meadow view accessory structures and impervious surfaces***

ICDD Westman requested that this item be continued to the regular City Council meeting of **March 19, 2008**, rather than February 20, 2008, to give City staff more time to work with the Glenwood Homeowners Association.

**PUBLIC HEARING OPENED - 7:30 PM (continued to the regular City Council meeting of 3/19/08)**

**M/S: Barrett/Aguilar**

**To open the public hearing on 1/16/08 at 7:30 p.m. and continue same to the regular City Council meeting of 3/19/08.**

**Carried 5/0**

**5. Consideration of a mitigated negative declaration, planned development, and minor land division to create four medium-density residential lots (R-1-10) at 364 Collado Drive // APN 021-131-13**

CP Weaver presented the staff report, indicating that two additional pieces of correspondence, received after the printing of the agenda, were before the Council this evening.

Charlie Eadie, representing the applicant, gave a power point overview of the project.

CM Aguilar asked about the time frame in which these four homes would be built. Mr. Eadie noted that the current market for housing is challenging, but it is the intention of the applicant to build all of the houses at the same time. CM Bustichi asked if they had a rough idea of the driveway length and width of the four houses. Mr. Eadie noted that on the plans it shows that there is adequate parking in the driveways, far exceeding the City's requirements.

CM Barrett asked if the water collecting off of the roofs will all go into retention basins. Mr. Eadie stated yes, they are working with a hydrologist to get a system to make this happen. CM Bustichi noted that he has a similar system to what is proposed and it is working quite well.

**PUBLIC HEARING OPENED - 12/19/08 at 7:02 PM and continued to regular City Council meeting of 1/16/08**

John Luk, approached the podium and stated that he had been working with the applicant and City staff for several years on this project. The June beetle issue has been a long process as well. They have worked hard to address design issues. They are looking forward to building four new homes in the City. He thanked staff and the Planning Commission for their review of the project and urged the Council to follow their recommendations.

Bob Davis, 373 Collado Drive, summarized the letter he submitted to Council this evening (attached to the minutes). He noted his concern with the proposed paving on three sides of their home. He believed that the proposed extension of Collado Drive amounts to a taking.

Jason Cartee, 384 Collado Drive resident, read verbatim from a letter he wrote regarding the proposal (attached to minutes). His concerns include emergency access, removal of parking, bottle neck street, increased traffic, hazards to children playing in the area. He urged Council to reject this proposal in its entirety.

Richard Coffee, 368 Collado Drive, stated his concerns with this project being the same one that was proposed and rejected in 2001. He understood that this time there had been money put into a Sandhills fund and that brought the project back before the Commission. He was concerned with the neighborhood kids getting run over, that he could no longer park in front of his house, and the 18' street in front of his house was not in good shape now and would not hold up to construction equipment. He objected to the fact that this project will be there forever and they were squeezing in a fourth home in a substandard area. This would not be in keeping with the general nature of the neighborhood. He would rather see there be three houses built, rather than four.

Dianne Nielson, 312 Collado Drive (last property on the left-hand side of Collado Drive), stated that she had previously sent a letter to the City. She had concerns with the safety of an 18 foot right of way access and believed this was not suitable for four homes. The emergency access was a concern. 1994 Council concerns were for this road and the project was denied. It was not fair for the neighborhood to lose their parking. This devalues their property.

#### **PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED - 8:20 PM**

CM Bustichi asked staff for clarification on Mr. Davis's statement that the extension had been taken away with this proposal. ICDD Westman stated that it is a right of way with full access.

CM Aguilar asked staff if Council could put a deed restriction on the properties to not allow a gate to be constructed giving access to the golf course. CA Powell stated that it could be done, but that actually would be a civil matter between property owners. If they did put in a gate, that would constitute trespassing and would be a civil matter to resolve. CM Aguilar stated that she wanted to prevent it from becoming a civil matter by making it a deed restriction. CA Powell explained that it still could be a civil matter, even with a deed restriction. Who would enforce the deed restriction would be the issue. This project does not propose a Homeowner's Association.

Mayor Johnson asked about maintaining the condition of the road regarding construction equipment and asked if there could be any compensation if the road is damaged. ICDD Westman noted that the Planning Commission reviewed this issue previously. As noted in condition #27, the applicant would be responsible for any damage done to the road during construction.

CM Aguilar stated that she would like to see the future buyers of the new homes be informed of the golf course existence next door and that they also be informed that the golf course owner is looking into developing the golf course into residential property. ICDD Westman noted that any reasonable real estate agent knows that they have to disclose this possibility.

CM Bustichi asked that if this proposal was approved, would Council consider removing the parking space in the area behind the Davis residence. It seems like there is more than adequate parking for the four new homes. It would mean less impervious surface for water recharge and a little bit better quality of life behind the Davis house.

CM Aguilar stated that she was blown away that there is no Homeowner's Association proposed to address the drainage issue. Because this is an end of the street, cul-de-sac, she had concerns with a higher density around it. You don't put higher density in a dead end area. She couldn't foresee this see being developed any higher density than proposed. Three houses would be better than four for this area and would eliminate any exceptions to the code currently integrated into the proposal. She was not inclined to vote for this project because of the HOA issue.

ICDD Westman noted that condition #11 on page 12 stated that the applicant must submit proposed CCR's for approval prior to the project going forward. Although it doesn't require a Homeowners Association, it will put specific conditions on individual lots to address drainage concerns.

CM Reed stated that he had listened to all of the Planning Commission tapes during this project's review and the public testimony this evening. The Commission did their usual good job and he agreed with their recommendation. He appreciated all of the input from the neighbors and know they understand property owner rights. He is in favor of the project as proposed.

ICDD Westman suggested including the drainage system issue in condition #11.

**M/S: Reed/Barrett**

**To approve Resolution No. 1809 certifying the mitigated negative declaration (MND07-005) for a planned development zoning overlay and permit (PD01-002) and minor land division (MLD01-005) to create four medium-density residential parcels (R-1-10) at 364 Collado Drive (APN 021-131-13).**

**Carried 4/1 (NOES: Aguilar)**

**M/S: Reed/Barrett**

**To introduce Ordinance No. 16-ZC-210 approving a planned development zoning overlay and permit (PD01-002) to create four medium-density residential parcels (R-1-10) at 364 Collado Drive (APN 021-131-13) and waive the reading thereof.**

**Carried 4/1 (NOES: Aguilar)**

**M/S: Reed/Barrett**

**To approve Resolution No. 1809.1 approving a minor land division (MLD05-004) to split a medium-density residential lot into four lots for future construction of single-family houses (R-1-10/PD Zoning District) at 364 Collado Drive (APN 021-131-13), as amended: (1) Condition of Approval #11 to include maintenance of the drainage system; (2) Clarify**

**that the future property owners are to be notified of the existence of the Valley Gardens Golf Course and the possible future development change of that golf course to residential zoning; and, (3) Eliminate the parking space and landscaping behind the Davis property.**

**Carried 4/1 (NOES: Aguilar)**

**6. Consideration of approval to establish a Community Facilities District No. 2007-1 for Bean Creek Estates**

DCM/ASD Ando presented the written staff report and responded to questions from Council.

Bob Haight, Bond Counsel, reviewed the process and handed the Acting City Clerk the Bean Creek Estates Special Tax and Special Bond Election ballot.

**PUBLIC HEARING OPENED - 12/19/08 at 8:27 PM and continued to regular City Council meeting of 1/16/08**

No one came forward.

**PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED - 8:45 PM**

**M/S: Aguilar/Reed**

**To approve Resolution No. 1807.3 amending Resolution No. 1807 entitled "Resolution of intention of the City Council of the City of Scotts Valley to establish Community Facilities District No. 2007-1, to authorize the levy of a special tax to pay the cost of acquiring, constructing and/or maintaining certain improvements and expenses of the District and to pay debt service on bonded indebtedness" (Bean Creek Estates).**

**Carried 5/0**

**M/S: Aguilar/Barrett**

**To approve Resolution No. 1807.4 amending Resolution No. 1807.1 entitled "Resolution of intention of the City Council of the City of Scotts Valley to incur bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$745,000 within Community Facilities District No. 2007-1 (Bean Creek Estates).**

**Carried 5/0**

**M/S: Aguilar/Barrett**

**To approve Resolution No. 1807.5 establishing City of Scotts Valley, acting as the legislative body of City of Scotts Valley Community Facilities District No. 2007-1 (Bean Creek Estate3s), calling election and taking certain other actions with respect thereto (Bean Creek Estates).**

**Carried 5/0**

**M/S: Aguilar/Barrett**

**To approve Resolution No. 1807.6 determining the necessity to incur bonded indebtedness within said Community Facilities District and calling elections therein (Bean Creek Estates).**

**Carried 5/0**

Mayor Johnson stated that the four resolutions just adopted form the District and authorize the levy of special taxes, determine the necessity to incur bonded indebtedness, and call for an election. The election is to be conducted by mailed or personally delivered ballots, with each owner of land within the District having one vote for each acre or portion of an acre of such land. Because the landowners have consented to an acceleration of the election process, the election will be conducted at this time; and, in anticipation of our possible adoption of the four resolutions, those ballots have already been delivered to the landowner and have been completed by the landowner and now returned to the Acting City Clerk. The Acting City Clerk will now advise us as to the results of the election.

ACC Adams stated that **“all ballots have been cast in connection with the election, and the election has now been closed. All of the votes cast in the District were in favor of Propositions A through C”**.

**M/S: Aguilar/Barrett**

**To approve Resolution No. 1807.7 certifying the results of the December 19, 2007 special tax and bond election (Bean Creek Estates).**

**Carried 5/0**

**M/S: Aguilar/Barrett**

**To introduce Ordinance No. 179 an ordinance of the City Council of the City of Scotts Valley, acting in its capacity as the legislative body of City of Scotts Valley Community Facilities District No. 2007-1 (Bean Creek Estates) authorizing a levy of a special tax within such Community Facilities District and waive the reading thereof.**

**Carried 5/0**

**REGULAR AGENDA**  
(Resumed)

**7. Future Agenda Items**

None.

**CONVENE TO CLOSED SESSION**

The City Council convened to closed session at 9:00 p.m. to discuss the following items:

1. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8, the City Council met in closed session to confer with their real property negotiator regarding Assessor Parcel Number's: 22-231-03 and 22-601-02.

**RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION**

The City Council reconvened to open session at 9:15 p.m.

**REPORT ON ACTION TAKEN DURING CLOSED SESSION**

Mayor Johnson announced that there was nothing to report.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 9:18 p.m.

Approved:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Randy Johnson, Mayor / Chair

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sandy Adams, Administrative Secretary /  
Acting City Clerk